

Aaron Ockerman 06-01-2021

Aaron Ockerman: Meeting of the OAEO Trustees called to order at 8:33 by teleconference (Zoom) – Meeting turned over to President Lisa Welch to get started, I think we have to approve minutes of the last meeting and then Housebill 294 discussion are the only things that I have on the agenda. And then we can certainly, depending on time, open it up to see if there are other things that are on the trustees minds.

Lisa Welch

Good morning, everyone. I'd like to call this meeting of the trustees to order. Joyce Could you do the roll call.

Joyce Kale-Pesta Before I call the roll can I ask that anyone who makes a motion please give their name and who is seconding do the same. Present were Cheryl Browning Warner, Aundrea Carpenter Colvin, Sarah Greathouse, Joyce Kale-Pesta, David Karmol, Ranae, Lentz, Phil Long, Brian Mead, Aaron Ockerman, Tony Perlatti, Sherry Poland, Ed Ryder, LaVera Scott, Bill Rich, Brian Sleeth, Julie Leathers Stahl, Lisa Welch, Michelle Wilcox, Brandon Wobler. A quorum was present.

Ed Ryder made the motion to accept the minutes of the previous Trustees Meeting, seconded by Julie Leathers Stahl. All members approved

o New Business to come before the Trustee's

Old Business HB 294

Lisa Welch turned the agenda over to Aaron for discussion on HB 294

Aaron asked Lavera Scott for her and Terry Burton to give a kind of run through on the Legislative Committee meeting. He noted a couple of things. I guess most of you were on the Legislative call, I believe so you probably saw a lot of the discussion that happened there. We can run through provision by provision or we can just kind of have an open discussion. I would note that there are a few people on the call that are not trustees so I would just ask again that you mute your line and observe to let the trustees deliberate as you've elected them to do. So, really nice. Want to kind of throw it back to you to guide us through this kind of opened up to the preference of the of the group as to how they want to proceed. I think Terry Burton joined us now I know that LaVera is on

LaVera Scott Not a problem. Aaron, let me get my notes together It was a spirited conversation.

Terry Burton: It was fine. We worked through point by point. I'll kill time until LaVera gets her notes. I'm trying to think through some of the major points, do you have them?

LaVera Scott: basically, for what we did for the legislative committee was literally went through each of the sections starting with the automated voter registration verification system. And we presented each of the sections to our body, asking for feedback on that what they agreed with, they didn't agree with, and I think, major additions that we would have had, there was not really any disagreement on automated voter registration and verification, then the next one would have been the voter roll maintenance procedures. After that was voter identification. We didn't have any changes to that we were happy to see everyone agree with the way to use

an electronic form of your ID. As to curbside voting, we still did have some discussion in reference to that simply because of the word curbside itself, meaning more of a convenience than people that need assistance. So that was something that we spoke with Aaron about. Originally, Sherry had looked at possibly trying to determine if there could be some other wording instead of curbside. Overall, we agree with the proposal. Just the wording was something we had questioned about. Absentee voting, we still want to push for the seven days instead of the 10 day agenda. Also, there was some discussion in reference to hospital voting. We wanted to make sure that that didn't change in reference to the deadlines for hospital voting itself. And of course, we did address the ballot box issue, The board still be unable to have some purview in reference to the numbers of ballot boxes. Boards should have more discretion. We want them to be available year round instead of just the 10 days. Terry did you have anything else but the absentee voting by mail portion?

Terry Burton You've covered well.

LaVera Scott One last thing. One of the stipulations was that absentee ballots being counted as opposed to being rejected if it is not returned inside of the ID envelope. Our current practice, we believe as a bipartisan team, making that curable. We want it to move forward with that still being the same. In person voting, of course. I think we're all in agreement, a reference to the Monday due to operations, but our proposal to the hours was different from what was listed in the Bill taking the additional hours from that Monday. Making an eight to eight the last week before voting, and then adding the additional hour to that Saturday. So not adding in hours to Sunday and making the hours more consistent for the last week of voting. Well, I don't have anything else in reference to in person absentee voting elections administration, we didn't have any changes, we did just speak about agreeing with the 17 year old seniors being able to be booth officials. We didn't have any disagreements with the other portion. We just had some questions about adding some of the things that were added in there. We didn't know why. So we did discuss that. For technical changes, we did not make any suggested changes to that portion either. So I'm hoping that everyone has the bill analysis. And that's like I said, we strictly went through this for each section.

Brian Sleeth On automatic voter registration, there was some suggestions about clarifying the opt in and opt out.

Aaron Ockerman Oh, yes. Carla Patton was going to be working on language for review of the issue.

David Karmol one of the key parts of this bill, is the addition to the ability to request an absentee ballot online. And one of the things we had discussion about was that the online application now requires two forms of ID I think there was some question whether we should support just one form of ID for online application saying that we agree with only one form of ID.

Brian Sleeth Right it was 1 form of ID

Bill Rich Under election administration, there's that crazy prohibition on using a voter registration system or piece of voting equipment, anywhere in Ohio if an elected official or the officials spouse, partner, owner or member of the manufacturer or distributor?

Aaron Yes, I think we want to change that. So you can't acquire a system as opposed to use a system so that if someone got elected, and as an owner of a voting machine company you would have to go procure a new voting system solely based on right, but it's also a little crazy that, you know, if, an local elected official somewhere in Ohio, is a part owner, then nobody anywhere, no County, anywhere in Ohio can acquire equipment

LaVera Scott - Bill did bring that up during the legislative Session , Aaron, if that was in our notes, not as detailed as it should have been because it did just say acquire not use. But that second piece that Bill mentioned, I think was something we could certainly have more conversation about. That particular provision if we wanted to, I think the legislative committee had just said, If we change it to acquire that it becomes kind of a moot point. I mean, no, I'm not aware that anyone in the world who owns 10% of a voting company. And not sure how you reach that threshold?

Aaron Well, if you're a local elected official in the state of Ohio, but all that being said, I think the problematic part is you'd be forced to acquire a new voting system based on someone being elected that owned a voting machine company.

LaVera Scott We thought that was pretty silly. The only other things that I had were to try to request some additional provisions to be added to the bill. I think there are three sections that the trustees have already proved are three provisions that deal with voting ry to deal with ballot printers. On the first is we're removing the obvious state ballot printer only requirement. The second was to kind of streamline the procurement process around bonding and bidding. And the third was to require venders to be certified. So we hopefully kind of avoid the Midwest direct problems. And then Terry had suggested I believe that we standardized the cure period for both absentee and provisional ballots at seven business days right now, I think it's seven days for provisionals and 10 days for absentees. And we thought it was better to standardize those and exclude weekends and holidays.

Aaron I think LaVera you said, in your time there what you had one voter in 20 some years actually come into cure and absentee ballot over the weekend. Is that right?

LaVera, Yes I think it was a total of like, two. But yeah, people just don't get it.

Aaron Those were the only things that I had that were in were in addition to LaVera;s synopsis, Terry , did you have anything else?

Terry Burton a lot of the people were on that call. So I think they got the flavor of the meeting. Good recap LaVera

LaVera Scott Thank you. I have one question. I know we talked about the one form of ID is what we were looking for with the absentee. And then I put but not to stop it if that was th only holdup. I think that's what we were trying to say that if that was something that had to go through just for the online to go through we would support it. Is that correct?

Aaron Yes in the past, the trustees have basically said it's our strong preference that we just make the requirement the same as the paper absentee ballot request. But if we felt like this was not going to get through, based simply on the fact that a majority of legislators wanted there to be an additional requirement in lieu of a signature, that t we thought that was acceptable, if not optimal. For the for the online absentee ballot request system, I think I believe that's the position of this group in the past.

LaVera Scott Thank You .

Aaron I do think that Carla had sent me at least some ideas around the automated voter registration, opt in opt out, and I know Bill had said that he wanted a little more time to think about that and analyze that as to whether or not he would support a true opt in opt out. I think right now, it's kind of this mishmash thing. So I'll let him maybe elaborate on those thoughts at the appropriate time. But I wanted to note that is another discussion point as well.

Aaron Lisa and Brian I think that's probably it in a nutshell. At the end of the day, I think the Legislative Committee voted 18 to 7 to support the bill. That's a recommendation, of course, not a binding vote by any stretch of the imagination. And I'll remind everyone that we have a higher threshold for the trustees to actually obligate the association to a position 13 have to vote either affirmatively to oppose or support any piece of legislation. So those are some things to consider as well as we enter into the discussion.

LaVera Scott Near unanimous support with the recommendations, correct?

Aaron Yes, support the bill with the addition of those changes recommended.

Joyce, Kale-Pesta Aaron what is the our time frame on this? When do you think it's going to be brought up and passed and go on? I mean, what is our timeframe?

Aaron, I have been told that there's going to be proponent at least proponent testimony on June 7, June 10. So next Thursday, there's going to be proponent testimony. I've heard that the Secretary of State is going to testify as a proponent, but I don't have that confirmed, I haven't heard about anyone else that may be showing up. And then I would suspect the following week, June 17, would be open to additional testimony, those who are opposed to it or other interested parties. Beyond that, I have not heard from House leadership, what their plans are, if they're going to try to move it before the end of the before they recess for the summer, in July, or if they're just going to let it sit over the summer in committee. So I would say, you know, depending on how this group votes, if you want to support the bill, the first opportunity would be June 17. If you take a different posture than probably June, I'm sorry, June 10. If you're a proponent, if you're taking another position, then probably June 17.

Sarah Greathouse. Aaron, what is your analysis of our position in this conversation? Do we really have an opportunity still at this point to influence and get some of the changes that we want? Or are we dealing with something a little bit Starker kind of deal in that political reality? I Sir, I my sense is that the sponsors are open to additional changes represent Ryan

Aaron Thank you. I think I've had some offline conversations with. And I think, you know, Representative Seitz alluded to this earlier in his testimony that he doesn't like dropboxes Representative Ray really likes dropboxes. So he, quote unquote, compromised with on the 10 day thing. But, you know, I get the sense that there are members of the committee that are open to our suggestions Representative Wilken, who's the chair of that committee actually came down, we did a tour with him in the Clinton County Board of Elections. And he really, I think, learned a lot about how you guys operate. And the way you use Dropbox is, for example, the Monday voting stuff, I think was a good point of conversation with them to kind of understand what you guys do on the day before the election. So what might my sense without having talked to every committee member is that there's openness to reform to refine the bill?

Sarah Greathouse I'm just going to throw this out there Overall, I know that there is a lot of rhetoric around the bill in general, I don't find it personally as reprehensible as some other folks that I've been talking to you lately, that said, I'd be hard pressed to vote for supported the bill with the 10 day limit on it on the drop boxes. Probably be tossed around the two forms of ID for the online, because, frankly, the talking points on the signature and the last for the social pretty compelling, it's hard pressed to find a rhetorical equivalent, that counters that. I'm throwing that out there.

Bill Rich the provisions that have to do with the receipt of absentee ballots. So the limitation on Dropbox is both the period of time 10 days before election day on and the location and also the prohibition on staff, board election staff receiving ballots elsewhere, then at the board of elections Those are severely problematic .I would add staff to that, I guess the prohibition on prepaid postage is a step backward .But the provisions having to do with the receipt of absentee ballots are, in my view, really bad. I agree with Sarah that a lot. There's a lot of overhead in overheated rhetoric about the bills, the bills, not as bad as it's being made out to be, but I wouldn't want to support it with these provisions in there on the receipt of absentee ballots if those could be fixed. You know, I could be persuaded to support the bill without that. So no, I don't think we ought to support it. I don't know that they oppose it either. I would stay neutral.

Aaron Well, thanks for that clarification on your on your position. Are there other? I'm curious to know, I think, you know, we've had good conversations, obviously, with the sponsors and gotten several got several things in there that we'd like. I know that. The Dropbox has been a big issue for a lot of counties. I've heard from many, many folks, not just the trustees and legislative committee, but you know, other people emailing me as well, or talking to me about it as well. But are there other areas of the bill outside of those things that the legislative committee had identified? Like, Bill, you brought up the posters? We didn't even have a conversation about that provision, candidly, at the legislative committee that I can remember, what else is, you know, objectionable, we want to we want to see 10 days move to seven, I assume that's still the position of the of the trustees. Are there other things we can point to that we would definitely want to draw out as we're testifying and meeting with the members of the committee that we'd like to see changed?

LaVera Scott Can you give us more of a comprehensive list based upon the trustees previous stance, in reference to this along with the proposals from the legislators committee to where where they would actually impact?

Aaron I'm sorry, like, which things we've asked before

LaVera Scott I know we already talked about the seven days instead of the 10 days, something that we agreed upon? Obviously we'd asked for curbside voting. We don't think the consensus was we didn't really get everything that we wanted on kind of clarifying what curbside was.

Aaron That was one that I noticed. Obviously the online absentee ballot request is something we've advocated for a long time. reallocating the Monday hours was one that we had talked about for a long time. It's codified the EAP is a 17 year old high school students, being poll workers, the codification of logic and accuracy testing was another one. I think those were all things that the trustees had included in our legislative priorities for the year..

Joyce Kale Pesta Has there been any discussion in this group concerning absentee ballot drop off at the locations on election day. I would have 72 dropboxes.

Aaron Yes, right. We haven't talked about the possibility of allowing ballots to be postmarked on Election Day, either. I know, those are two things that have come up pretty consistently over the past six months since the election. Certainly something that's fair game, we want to talk about that as well. Great point.

Tony Perlatti Aaron, this is Tony, can someone explain to me where we are with identification for voters by mail, the order of preference that they're asking for?

Aaron Sure. Thanks, Tony. Well, the legislative committee had a pretty long conversation about that. And I think at the end of the day, what we decided was that it doesn't have any practical impact at all. I think it's only limited to mail in absentee balloting. And, you know, I think the consensus was, basically, it has order in which asked for your ID and so we couldn't find any real practical impact. Bill. I know you lead that conversation. Do you have additional thoughts on missing?

Bill Rich Well, I think what led to the bill is clear that failure to give a driver's license number if you have your social security number instead, isn't fatal. So yeah, I mean don't know, I think to put in legislation, it's just a preference.

Aaron That helped Tony.

Tony Perlatti Yeah, I guess, are we comfortable that a secretary of state will read a directive providing his or her perspective on what that language means that you don't have that first preference than it is fatal?

Aaron Legislation is explicit in saying it's not. So that would probably be contrary to law.

Bill Rich Yeah, it would be contrary to law. I think. Probably the worst part about it is that it just may lead to some confusion.

Aaron Thank you. And Bill, just to clarify, I know, some of the opponents of this that I've heard have said that one of the issues they saw was that it would lead to longer lines at the early voting locations, because poll workers may ask people to present their identification and people would fumble around looking forward if they didn't have it. But the way the sponsors explained it, it only applied to mail in voting.

Terry Burton That is my recollections

Aaron I'm sorry, I should have reviewed it as well before today.

LaVera Scott From the way it was read when it was originally discussed it appears that I think Terry it is stated that if you don't have it as part of the training, as is for now and to see if you don't have this, you go to this, if you don't have this, you go to that, because it could be confusing reading it for someone who doesn't understand it not to go to the next one. That's one of the things that I said, I don't understand why you're trying to prioritize it. Because I'm kind of like, Tony, in reference to the fact that like, Is there a reason we're missing that, you know, to be allowed not to be counted, So of course, that was my first thought.

Aaron Terry – do you have a sense on does this apply to mail in balloting only? Or is it also going to also apply to folks that present themselves in person at the early vote center?

Terry Burton think I agree with Bill I thought it was the only place that practically has an ample solution because I mean, coworkers are naturally going down with progression anyway. They're going to pick up progression, no matter what. Quite honestly, driver's license is always the first place to start at this time, and then they work their way back. But I mean, you know, I do think it's just a training piece I will be the first to say, I don't really understand either, why it's in there, I've read it and read it, and I just can't figure out why would ever prohibit us from taking.

Brian Mead I mean, I think we're back to this build us 15 things, 11 of them, we asked for the past. And then there's three controversial things that with legislative committees saying we give recommendations. I don't see why there's hesitation to support this bill, with some recommendations

David Karmol Why would we give away our support for nothing? I mean, there, there are some bad features to the bill. They're pretty bad. Overall bills, not bad, but those features are bad. You know, I'd be okay. Taking the position that, you know, if those really problematic ones are fixed, then we support the bill. But to gladly be unconditionally supportive, even with the things that are bad.

Brian Mead Because the only bad thing that people have a controversy now is the dropboxes. And right now, he's at least giving you drop boxes for 10 days. No one likes the 10 days. And that's where I think you have some reason to push it. But all you large counties that had a Dropbox for 1015 years, it was against the law. He knows that and he will get rid of them. I don't understand it. If we like 90% of the bill, I don't understand why we wouldn't support it. Especially because it's stuff we've advocated for. I think we have a good shot to like make sure we have a Dropbox here year round or lease during the election cycle, which I think the sponsors are going to give on. multiple drop boxes is really a 50 / 50 I mean, it splits our association. You know, I don't think there's a lot of small and medium size counties out there that are saying we want multiple drop boxes. I think this is a pure partisan one where we're split on, we can make a recommendation. But again, as long as we have one that's better than none.

Tony Perlatti And this is a problem. They're not illegal, right? Basically, no, they're not illegal.

David Karmol Basically, you're saying, look, if if we're split on something, we should we should vote with you. I mean, we're split, we're neutral.

Brian Mead Okay, I'll tell you guys how the law works in incites insights in his testimony,3:26 because legislators said, You shall not have. If you guys keep doing this, that's what he will do. He will make sure you don't have them. If you put them out there. He's going to take part of your County's local government funds. In the good old days, Cuyahoga County used to mail absentee applications to everybody. And that was struck down as well. I know Bill Seitz, this is what he's looking at. But again, the what the dropbox should not be the one thing we die on, when we're getting 10 other things.

Sarah Greathouse , Brian, I absolutely hear what you're saying overall. But I think34:13 so much of what we do when we're talking about the early voting calendar, we want to regulate those hours to make it easier for the voters. If we're going to have drop boxes , having them only functional for 10 days of the of the 29 days of early voting, much less the rest of the years knowing that the way voters use them by allowing a throwing our support behind something that

is that confusing for voters. I think it's detrimental to all of us. And it just makes our job so hard. It's already hard enough and people will say what I can use, like well, you can use this ID unless you want to use that ID and here's the windows. It would be we keep adding regulations to voting and explanations to voters. I'd love to give people a four word answers to a question. But we don't have any, why are we making drop boxes of 35 word answer? It's just either we're doing it and it's to facilitate voting, or we're not. And we're just existing to confuse voters. And I feel like it is sort of a fundamental issue. And that's, that's the difficulty for me is that there are so many things that make everything just a little bit harder to explain just a little bit harder for voters. And that, as a policy strikes me as one that the OAEI should be constantly striving against, we want to make things more and more simple for voters to understand.

Dave Karmol, Can I just add something here as sort of a compromise, it seems to me, it's a good thing that we're in agreement on most parts of this bill. And I do think that there's some advantage to testifying among the proponents with some reservations and saying, we support a great part of this bill, but we have some strong concerns. And I think you can handle the issue of the 10 days for the dropbox in terms of confusion of the voters, you know, as you say, you're going to have 30 days of absentee voting. But you can only use the Dropbox for 10 days, that's extremely confusing that voters are going to assume that that facility is open the same time as your early voting facility. So I think we can handle that in terms of let's make this simple for voters, let's make it easy for voters to understand and comply with the law. And that's the way we're going to promote it..

Lisa Welsh Another thing that we can bring up with the drop boxes is the fact that we have to have cameras on these drop boxes. So if we're taking them down or disabling them, we still have that investment there of the cameras that we put out there and the security we have for them. And it's only there for maybe 10 days a year in a case where there's only a general election that year. And we're going to be replacing the cameras before they actually get used very much.

Sherry Poland I think another argument with the drop boxes that might appeal to the General Assembly, is that if it's limited to 10 days, you may have voters delaying returning their ballots until the 10 day window that they're allowed to drop it off personally, using the drop box, which could lead to you know, late results, late election results, if we get the ballots later, just trying to think of things that would, you know, make them sort of listen a little bit more to our recommendation, in addition to voter confusion, and sharing the add on what you were saying what you're saying.

Brian Sleeth I know there's been discussion in the group about 365 days a year, but I think there's the election period, outside the election cycle those are just a drop off. So I mean, I don't think we're prohibited from having a box outside our office to drop off registration. I just think we should concentrate on early voting periods. It would be up to the boards outside of that.

Aaron So Sherry, I'll put you on the spot a little bit since Representative Seitz is one of your hometown boys. He did a lot of posturing during committee, do you think he is as strident about Dropboxes as he was letting on? Or do you sense that he could be softened up a little bit?

Sherry Poland I think there's no, there's no guarantees with Seitz. But I think that he, I think we could get more than the 10 days. I do. I think I think we could I don't think we're going to get multiple locations throughout the county. Absolutely not. But I think we can get what we had in November of 2020. As far as having them available during the entire period, I think if we push

enough, if we come out, either taking a neutral position on his bill, I think then we lose all all footing and then they're not going to look at us for any of the other recommendations.

Aaron The most of you use your dropboxes to get voter registration forms and did you have them open most last year for other purposes?

Michel Wilcox” Feeling is if we go in supporting the bill they are not even going to look at our recommendations saying the OAEO supports the legislation when we are saying we support with our recommendations,. Three of the major things are major things, give and take is fine but codifying it while taking away 10 days is problematic

Dave Karmol Respectfully disagrees from prospective of the legislators who sponsored this bill if we go n and say we generally support the bill but have some real problems with parts of the bill I think it is a credible place to be and gives Aaron – some negotiation power Should not give away our support but have serious reservation, I was a former Legislator along with Ed Ryder,

Ed Ryder - Agrees with Dave I think the legislators would like to find people generally supportive but have reservations and work with them to come to an agreement this way I don't agree with all the provisions but I could agree if Aaron can work his magic with the legislators in committee to negotiate the provisions we want.

Dave Karmol to speak of negotiations – typically when you go into negotiations - you don't say I agree with you but I would like you to change this or that, Typically you hold your agreement until you negotiate something agreeable to both sides. is there an opportunity for Aaron to do that

Cheryl Browning Warner I think the association has always gone into negotiations with Legislators that way. we are willing to work with you if you work with us. I am a small county but understand the large county issues and think it is better for us to all have one dropbox for 30 days instead of 10days as opposed to trying to get several and for them to say forget it all.

Sarah Greathouse The sense is most of us have given up on multiple drop boxes and concentrated on the 30 days instead of the 10 Is there a way for us to do a census on our significant issues with he bill

Aundrea Carpenter Colvin Our County has two drop boxes one in the front and one in the back of the building, One is owned by the city -one by the county. To remove one would take the city to do so. My concern is what if people use the drop box before the 10 days what do we do with them – what happens to them = Our drop boxes don't have a way to lock them – what do we do with the ones received outside the time frame.

Aaron - the way it was explained to me - you don't have to remove the dropbox physically but it must be locked and the sheet has to have a flap placed over it. It has to be mechanically altered to lock

Ed Ryder Question – Aaron do you understand why the dropboxes are limited to 10 days. I don't know if I understand that,

Aaron Ed as a former legislator you know that sometimes compromises just don't make a lot of sense – I think Seitz didn't want any Ray comfortable with more but compromised on 10 days. There is no real policy on election laws.

Tony Perlatti: My position on the bill is that I look at what is good for the voters of my county, not just for the board of elections or the party's I am ,excited about on line vote by mail applications – good for voters. nothing else in bill is exciting. What stuck out as a non starter were the drop boxes absentee mailing 10 days instead of seven. I would have liked 5 but can get on board with it d out 10 day drop boxes no required preference on id for drivers license just crazy as long as last 4 of social ok limiting the drop box to more than 1 per county Would like an affirmative vote of the board to do more, having less than a full day of vote by mail window makes no sense for voters – how dos it make it better for voters. Having the ballot not inside the ID envelope rejected is kind of silly You have both right there the prohibition . against being able to permanently prohibit public officials from placing the postage on the application or ballot – I would want that to be a little more friendly so if government wants to do it they can. Eliminating voting hours the Monday before election day is not good for the voters of my county – very positive for our voters shifting hours to the week before only burns out staff even more , maybe reducing it to a cut off of noon , all the restrictions make it harder on all boards to operate. really the bill is not all that exciting for me except the applications on line for voters by mail.

Bill Rich Agrees

Brandan Wobler Agrees

Julie Leathers Stahl – Is there a way to do a conditional support of the bill pending compromise of these items of these items..... giving the reasons why. Such as the drop box and our other concerns.

Bill Rich Aaron are we able to do some negotiation before the hearings?

Aaron – Yes

Aaron The basic points for discussion would be the dropbox use from 10 to 30 days, the absentee application window from 10 days to 7days, board staff receiving ballots off premises such as nursing homes and hospitals and the board being able to count absentee ballot returns even if they are not in their ID Envelope.

Aaron – I encourage everyone to contact their legislators concerning the issues we are concerned with prior to testimony. This might help in negotiations.

Brian Sleeth Lets think over all the discussion we have had and place it on the agenda for the June 7th meeting.

Ed Ryder – Motion to Adjourn

Brandon Wobler – Seconds

Motion Carries